

PCT


WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification 7 : H04N 5/14, 7/01</p>		<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/27109 (43) International Publication Date: 11 May 2000 (11.05.00)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB99/03641 (22) International Filing Date: 3 November 1999 (03.11.99)</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p>	
<p>(30) Priority Data: 9824061.7 3 November 1998 (03.11.98) GB</p>		<p>Published With international search report.</p>	
<p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SNELL & WILCOX LIMITED [GB/GB]; 6 Old Lodge Place, St. Margaret's, Twickenham TW1 1RQ (GB).</p>			
<p>(72) Inventor; and</p>			
<p>(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): WESTON, Martin [GB/GB]; 7B Weston Road, Petersfield, Hampshire GU31 4JF (GB).</p>			
<p>(74) Agents: GARRATT, Peter, Douglas et al.; Mathys & Squire, 100 Gray's Inn Road, London WC1X 8AL (GB).</p>			

(54) Title: VIDEO SIGNAL PROCESSING



(57) Abstract

Motion between adjacent fields of an interlaced video signal is analysed by vertically interpolating the current field to produce a line signal which corresponds in vertical position with lines from the succeeding and preceding fields; and subtracting the signals to provide a field difference. The effect of vertical detail is reduced by comparing two field differences or by subtracting a local or global detail signal from the field difference.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

VIDEO SIGNAL PROCESSING

This invention relates to video signal processing and in an important example to improved methods of processing television signals which have been derived from cinema film, or other camera processes having a temporal sampling rate lower than the field rate of the television system. The invention 5 also relates more generally to the detection of motion in a video signal.

There are several processes in which two or more fields of a television signal are arithmetically combined to provide a filtered or interpolated output signal. Examples include geometric transformation of the picture for special effects, aspect-ratio conversion, composite decoding and standards 10 conversion. These processes can give rise to undesirable artefacts when the fields that are combined differ significantly because of motion, or cuts between different scenes. When the temporal sampling rate of the camera is less than the field rate of the television system the opportunity arises to 15 modify the processing so that only fields corresponding to the same instant in time are combined.

A particularly important example of the problem is the televising of film shot at 24 frames per second at a field rate of 60 fields per second. It is common practice to create a sequence of five television fields from two film frames by alternately generating two and three fields respectively from successive film frames. This is known as the "3:2 pull-down" technique. 20 Techniques have been developed for identifying the duplicated fields by comparing fields one frame apart and analysing the resulting pattern to derive a film sequence signal. Reference is directed in this respect to US 4,881,125; US 4,982,280; US 4,998,287 and US 5,255,091.

25 A difficulty with prior art techniques is that they rely on analysing data from many frames of video to identify characteristic patterns. Unless there is considerable delay built into the system, it is difficult to react quickly to changes in temporal phase.

When film shot at 24 frames per second is televised at a field rate of 50 30 fields per second, the so-called 2:2 technique is employed. Here, every film

frame is used to provide two video fields and the tape or film transport speed is modified to change the resulting 48 fields per second signal into the required 50 fields per second. There remains a need to identify in the video signal, which fields originate from the same film frame.

5 Many processes which combine fields are arranged to operate either in a "video mode" where each field is assumed to correspond to a different moment in time, or a "film-mode" where successive fields may correspond to the same moment in time. It is therefore useful to create a film/video control signal and, in order to modify processes which combine fields inappropriately,
10 it is helpful to derive a signal which indicates when a change in the "temporal phase" of the picture, or a cut to a new scene, occurs.

A proposal has been made to detect motion between interlaced video fields to provide a field motion signal: see US 5,291,280. In this proposal, a signal formed by subtracting across a field delay is compared with a signal
15 formed by subtracting across a delay of a field less one line. After filtering, rectifying and thresholding, the smaller of these two signals is taken as the field motion signal.

Interpreting a difference taken across a field delay is complicated by the common practice of interlaced television scanning. The lines of
20 successive fields are vertically misaligned by one line pitch and so, where vertical detail exists, the magnitude of the difference signal will not fall to zero, even if the fields correspond to the same scene and temporal phase.

It is an object of this invention to provide improved method and apparatus that overcome certain shortcomings of the prior art.

25 Accordingly, the present invention consists, in one aspect, in a method of analysing motion between adjacent fields of an interlaced video signal, comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or both of the fields to produce respective signals for the two fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal; and
30 removing a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail.

Preferably, a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by taking a measure of vertical detail from one or both of the fields and subtracting either all or a proportion of the detail measure from the field difference signal.

5 Suitably, a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by comparing a first field difference signal provided for a current field and the immediately preceding field with a second field difference provided for a current field and the immediately succeeding field.

10 In another aspect, the present invention consists in a method of creating a field difference signal by subtracting video signals from different fields characterised in that one or both of the fields are vertically interpolated prior to subtraction by taking weighted sums of lines from within the same field so as to obtain signals corresponding to similar vertical positions.

15 In yet another aspect, the present invention consists in a video filter process in which an output is created by taking a weighted sum of contributions over a filter aperture which defines the lines and fields from which a contribution is to be taken and the weighting of each contribution; comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or more input fields to produce respective signals for at least two input fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal for at least one pair of adjacent input fields; and utilising the or each field difference signal to select a filter aperture.

20 In still a further aspect, the present invention consists in a method of automatically changing the operation of a video process between a film mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to the same point in time and a video mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to different points in time, comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or more fields to produce respective signals for at least three input fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a preceding field difference signal for the pair of fields comprising a current field and a preceding field and a succeeding field difference signal for the pair of fields comprising the current field and a succeeding field least one pair of adjacent

input fields; comparing the preceding field difference signal with the succeeding field difference signal and changing the selection to film mode if the field difference signals are significantly different, and changing the selection to video mode if both signals are similar but not small.

5 The invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a system according to one embodiment of the present invention for generating a film difference signal from a 625-line interlaced television signal.

10 Figure 2 is a diagram similar to Figure 1 illustrating a modification.

Figure 3a is a diagram showing how a signal from the previous field can be interpolated to give a signal corresponding to the vertical position of the current field.

15 Figure 3b is a diagram showing how signals from both the current and the previous fields can be interpolated to bring them into alignment with each other.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a system according to one embodiment of the present invention for generating an interpolation control signal.

20 Figure 5 shows block diagram of a system according to one embodiment of the present invention for identifying film or video material.

Figure 6 shows the generation of an alternative global detail signal.

Figure 7 is a block diagram of a system according to a further embodiment of the present invention.

25 Referring to Fig. 1: An interlaced, 625-line input video signal (1) is delayed by 312 lines to produce video signal (2) corresponding to the previous field. This signal is interpolated by averaging (3) across a one-line delay so as to make a signal (4) corresponding to the same vertical position 30 as the (interlaced) current input line. This is subtracted from the input signal

and the absolute value of the result taken to give an uncorrected field difference signal (5) having a magnitude which increases with the difference in content between the current and previous fields.

5 The vertical interpolation of the previous field to align it with the current field is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 3a. The lines of the current and previous fields are indicated by crosses, and their relative vertical positions are indicated by their vertical positions on the diagram. The position of the interpolated line is shown by a circle.

10 Returning to Fig. 1, a local measure of the vertical detail in the previous field (7) is taken by subtracting (6) across the one-line delay and taking the absolute value of the result. This is multiplied (8) by a constant k_1 , which is chosen to optimise the operation of the circuit. A suitable value for k_1 may be in the region of 0.5.

15 The resulting local detail correction signal is subtracted (9) from the uncorrected field difference signal and clipped (10) in a threshold circuit which replaces values which are more negative than a predetermined threshold by the value of the threshold. This corrected field difference signal (11) can be used to find cuts or changes in temporal phase of the incoming video.

20 The signal 11 can be further improved by integrating over all, or a substantial part, of the picture area (12) to make a global difference signal, and carrying out a similar process on the local detail signal (7) to make a global detail signal (13). This is multiplied (14) by a constant k_2 (which is determined in a similar way as k_1) and subtracted from the global difference signal to generate an improved field difference signal 15.

25 Another way of generating the uncorrected field difference signal and the local detail signal is shown in Fig. 2.

30 The input 625-line interlaced video signal (1) is interpolated (21) to produce a signal which is vertically shifted by half of one (picture) line pitch. A second interpolator (22) applies an equal shift in the opposite direction to the signal from the previous field. The two interpolated signals are subtracted (23) and the absolute value of the result taken to obtain an improved local difference signal 27.

The interpolation of the two signals to bring them into vertical alignment is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 3b.

Because the interpolation processes need signals from more than one line from the current and the preceding field (two from each in the example shown in Fig. 2), it is possible to generate local detail signals from each of these fields (24 and 25) by taking the absolute values of vertical difference signals. The two local detail signals are averaged (26) and the result used to correct the improved local difference signal 27.

The local detail signal 28 and the local difference signal 27 can replace the signals 7 and 5 respectively in Fig. 1 and be processed as shown on the right hand side of the figure to create an improved field difference signal.

Although the detail correction which has been described above considerably reduces the adverse effect of detail on the field difference signal, it does not eliminate it completely and further processing will now be described making use of the film sequence signals from more than one field at a time.

In many applications there is a need to use a field difference signal to control whether the current field is combined with the previous field or with the next field. The generation of a suitable control signal is shown in Figure 4. A video signal (41) is input to a film sequence signal generation process (42), which may, for example, be that shown in Figure 1, and a film sequence signal (43) obtained which gives a measure of the temporal difference between two fields. This signal is delayed by substantially one field in a suitable delay device (44) to obtain a measure of the temporal difference between the two previous fields (45). A magnitude comparison is made (46) between the input and the output of the delay device and the resulting signal (47) is used to control an interpolation process as follows:

Let us describe the field which is being input to the block (42) as the *next* field. The film sequence signal (43) corresponds to the temporal difference between this field and the *current* field and the delayed sequence signal (45) corresponds to the temporal difference between the *current* field and the *previous* field. When the signal (47) indicates that the magnitude of

the undelayed film sequence signal (43) is significantly greater than that of the delayed film sequence signal (45) the interpolation process combines the *current* field with the *previous* field; otherwise the *current* field is combined with the *next* field.

5 Most applications which make use of film sequence information also have a video mode and it is helpful for the mode selection to be automatic. This may be achieved by processing a film sequence signal as shown in Figure 5.

10 A film sequence signal indicating the magnitude of the temporal difference between successive fields d_1 is delayed by substantially one field in the delay device (501) to produce a delayed film sequence signal d_2 . The signals d_1 and d_2 are fed to amplifiers (502) and (503) respectively to obtain amplified film sequence signals (504) and (505). The gains of the amplifiers are substantially equal at a value chosen to optimise the operation of the system; a gain of approximately two times has been found to be satisfactory.

15 The delayed and amplified signal (505) has a first DC threshold value subtracted from it in the subtractor (506) and d_1 is compared with the result in the comparator (507) to produce a logic signal (508) which is active when d_1 is the greater. The comparator (509) performs an equivalent process, but with the roles of d_1 and d_2 reversed, to produce a logic signal (510). These two logic signals are combined in an OR-gate (511) and the result fed to the SET input of a latch (512).

20 The effect of this processing is to set the latch whenever there are significant differences between the delayed and undelayed film sequence signals.

25 The delayed and amplified film sequence signal (505) has a second DC threshold subtracted from it in the subtractor (513) and the result is compared with d_1 in the comparator (514) to produce a logic signal (515) which is active when d_1 is the smaller. The comparator (516) performs an equivalent process, but with the roles of d_1 and d_2 reversed, to produce a logic signal (517). These two logic signals are combined in an AND-gate (518) and the result fed to the RESET input of the latch (512).

The effect of this processing is to reset the latch whenever the delayed and undelayed film sequence signals are of similar magnitude and greater than the magnitude of the second DC threshold.

5 The output (519) from the latch is used to put a video process into film mode when it is active, and to change to video mode when it is not.

Experience has shown that for some, very sharp pictures the methods of detail correction described above are insufficient to prevent stationary film images from being falsely detected as video. This difficulty can be overcome by generating an improved detail signal as shown in Figure 6.

10 An input video signal (61) is delayed a total of two fields by the delay elements (62), (63) and (64) and the undelayed and the two-field-delayed signals are averaged (65). An interpolator (66) uses two or more contributions from the line delays (63) to create an interpolated field signal (67) which is aligned with the average signal. The subtractor (68) subtracts the interpolated 15 signal from the average signal to create a detail signal which is integrated (69) over all, or a substantial part, of the picture area to create an improved global detail signal (70).

20 This improved global detail signal can replace the signal (13) in Figure 1, or a combination of the improved signal and the signal (13) can be used to correct the global difference signal.

25 It has been recognised by the inventor that a component in a field difference signal which arises from vertical detail can be removed, not only by subtracting an explicit detail signal but also by comparing field difference signals from neighbouring pairs of fields which can be assumed to have similar amounts of vertical detail.

Thus, turning to Figure 7, there is shown an arrangement in which an input interlaced 625 line video signal is passed through a 312 line delay (80), a 1 line delay (82) and a further 312 line delay (84).

30 Signals taken across the line delay (82) are added at (86) and halved at (88) to provide an interpolated signal which correspond in vertical position with lines from the succeeding and preceding fields. Preceding and succeeding field differences are taken in subtractors (90) and (92) and the

absolute values taken in blocks (94) and (96). The resulting signals are made available to processor (98) which as described above can determine which of the preceding or succeeding fields has the lowest field difference. This determination can be made pixel by pixel or signals can be appropriately aggregated over a region of a field or an entire field.

5

If it is desired also to distinguish between "true" video and film originating video, a signal can be taken from subtractor (100) across the line delay (82) providing a local measure of vertical detail. The absolute value of this detail signal from block (102) is then subtracted at (104) and (106) from the respective field difference signals. A determination can then be made of 10 whether a multiple (which may be 2) of one detail corrected difference signal exceeds the other by a predetermined threshold.

10

15

Although the invention has been described in terms of 625-line, interlaced signals, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that it is applicable to other formats, including 525-line and high-definition formats.

The field difference signals that this invention provides can be used to control a wide variety of video processes, including standards conversion, up or down conversion, aspect ratio conversion, special effects generators, composite decoders and compression encoders and decoders.

CLAIMS

1. A method of analysing motion between adjacent fields of an interlaced video signal, comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or both of the fields to produce respective signals for the two fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal; and removing a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail.
2. A method according to Claim 1 in which a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by taking a measure of vertical detail from one or both of the fields and subtracting either all or a proportion of the detail measure from the field difference signal.
3. A method according to Claim 2 in which a measure of local detail is used to correct the field difference signal.
4. A method according to Claim 2 or Claim 3 in which a measure of global detail is derived by summing the local detail from one or both fields over all or a substantial part of the picture and all or a proportion of the global detail signal is used to correct the field difference signal.
5. A method according to Claim 1 in which a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by comparing a first field difference signal provided for a current field and the immediately preceding field with a second field difference provided for a current field and the immediately succeeding field.

6. A method of creating a field difference signal by subtracting video signals from different fields characterised in that one or both of the fields are vertically interpolated prior to subtraction by taking weighted sums of lines from within the same field so as to obtain signals corresponding to similar vertical positions.
7. A method according to Claim 6 in which the result of the subtraction is corrected by taking a measure of vertical detail from one or both of the fields and either all or a proportion of the detail measure is subtracted from the field difference signal.
8. A method according to Claim 7 in which a measure of local detail is used to correct the field difference signal.
9. A method according to Claim 7 or Claim 8 in which a measure of global detail is derived by summing the local detail from one or both fields over all or a substantial part of the picture and all or a proportion of the global detail signal is used to correct the field difference signal.
10. A method according to any one of the preceding claims in which the field difference signal is summed over all or a substantial part of the picture to create a global difference signal and the local detail from one or both fields is summed over all or a substantial part of the picture to create a global detail signal and a corrected field difference signal is obtained by subtracting all or a proportion of the global detail signal from the global field difference signal.
11. A method according to any one of the preceding claims in which a field difference signal is output for each pixel of the current field.

12. A method according to any one of the preceding claims in which a field difference signal is output for each of a number of regions of the current field.
13. A method according to any one of the preceding claims in which a single field difference signal is output for the current field.
14. Apparatus for the geometric transformation of television pictures for special effects in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal derived in accordance with any one of Claims 1 to 13.
15. Apparatus for aspect ratio conversion of television pictures in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal derived in accordance with any one of Claims 1 to 13.
16. Apparatus for standards conversion of television pictures in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal derived in accordance with any one of Claims 1 to 13.
17. An interpolation process in which an output is created by taking a weighted sum of contributions from one or more selected input fields; comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or more input fields to produce respective signals for at least two input fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal for at least one pair of adjacent input fields; and utilising the or each field difference signal to select input fields for interpolation.

18. A process according to Claim 17 in which field difference signals are provided for the pair of input fields comprising a current input field and a preceding input field and the pair of input fields comprising the current input field and a succeeding input field.
19. A process according to Claim 17 or Claim 18 in which a component in the or each field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by taking a measure of vertical detail from one or more input fields and subtracting either all or a proportion of the detail measure from the or each field difference signal.
20. A video filter process in which an output is created by taking a weighted sum of contributions over a filter aperture which defines the lines and fields from which a contribution is to be taken and the weighting of each contribution; comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or more input fields to produce respective signals for at least two input fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal for at least one pair of adjacent input fields; and utilising the or each field difference signal to select a filter aperture.
21. A process according to Claim 20 in which field difference signals are provided for the pair of input fields comprising a current input field and a preceding input field and the pair of input fields comprising the current input field and a succeeding input field.
22. A process according to Claim 20 or Claim 21 in which a component in the or each field difference signal which arises from vertical detail is removed by taking a measure of vertical detail from one or more input fields and subtracting either all or a proportion of the detail measure from the or each field difference signal.

23. A method of automatically changing the operation of a video process between a film mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to the same point in time and a video mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to different points in time, comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or more fields to produce respective signals for at least three input fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a preceding field difference signal for the pair of fields comprising a current field and a preceding field and a succeeding field difference signal for the pair of fields comprising the current field and a succeeding field; comparing the preceding field difference signal with the succeeding field difference signal and changing the selection to film mode if the field difference signals are significantly different, and changing the selection to video mode if both signals are similar but not small.

24. A method of video process control according to claim 23 in which the film difference signals are considered to be significantly different if one differs from a multiple of the other by more than a predetermined threshold.

1/7




Fig 1

2/7




Fig 2

3/7




Fig 3b




Fig 3a

4/7




Fig 4

5/7




Fig 5

6/7




Fig 6

7/7




Fig 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat'l Application No
PCT/GB 99/03641

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 H04N5/14 H04N7/01

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 291 280 A (XU DONG ET AL) 1 March 1994 (1994-03-01) cited in the application abstract column 5, line 35 -column 7, line 28; figure 3 ---	1,6,17, 20,23
A	EP 0 343 728 A (PHILIPS NV) 29 November 1989 (1989-11-29) the whole document ---	1,6,17, 20,23
A	US 5 365 273 A (CORREA CARLOS ET AL) 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15) column 1, line 28 - line 36; figure 6 ---	1,6,17, 20,23 -/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

19 January 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/01/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Fuchs, P

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l. Application No

PCT/GB 99/03641

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 007, no. 136 (E-181), 14 June 1983 (1983-06-14) & JP 58 050863 A (MATSUSHITA DENKI SANGYO KK), 25 March 1983 (1983-03-25) abstract -----	1,6,17, 20,23

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intern.	AI Application No
PCT/GB 99/03641	

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5291280	A 01-03-1994	NONE		
EP 0343728	A 29-11-1989	NL DE DE JP US	8801347 A 68917026 D 68917026 T 2025179 A 4933759 A	18-12-1989 01-09-1994 16-02-1995 26-01-1990 12-06-1990
US 5365273	A 15-11-1994	DE CN DE EP ES JP SG	4213551 A 1079603 A,B 59308717 D 0567072 A 2120458 T 6105292 A 43991 A	28-10-1993 15-12-1993 06-08-1998 27-10-1993 01-11-1998 15-04-1994 14-11-1997
JP 58050863	A 25-03-1983	NONE		

1/7




Fig 1

2/7




Fig 2

3/7




Fig 3b




Fig 3a




Fig 4

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




Fig 5

6/7




Fig 6

7/7




Fig 7

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)